Case 2:16-cv-00287-cr Document 277-13 Filed 07/01/20 Page 1 of 10

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF VERMONT

GARRET SITTS, et al.,	
PLAINTIFFS,	Civil Action No. 2:16-cv-00287-cr
v.	
DAIRY FARMERS OF AMERICA, INC., and DAIRY MARKETING SERVICES, LLC,	
DEFENDANTS.	

EXHIBIT 13

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF VERMONT

GARRET SITTS, et al.,	
Plaintiffs,	Civil Action No. 2:16-cv-00287-cr
v.	
DAIRY FARMERS OF AMERICA, INC. and DAIRY MARKETING SERVICES, LLC,	
Defendants.	

DEFENDANTS DAIRY FARMERS OF AMERICA, INC. AND DAIRY MARKETING SERVICES, LLC'S PROPOSED VERDICT FORM FOR THE PLAINTIFFS IDENTIFIED AS "RUSSELL & DIANE MAXWELL"

Plaintiffs seek a finding of liability and damages relating to the Defendants Dairy Farmers of America, Inc. ("DFA") and Dairy Marketing Services, LLC ("DMS") (collectively, "DFA"). Because of the structure of the two entities, DFA and DMS are considered a single entity for purposes of deciding plaintiffs' claims. As a result, your answers to the questions below pertain to DFA and DMS, collectively.

See Plaintiffs' List Of Twenty Plaintiffs For Trial To Begin On July 1, 2020, May 21, 2020, ECF No. 207 ("Plaintiffs' List Of Twenty Plaintiffs For Trial"). DFA does not concede that any plaintiff has been properly identified on ECF No. 207 and reserves its right to seek relief as to any improperly identified plaintiff.

Conspiracy To Restrain Trade Pursuant To 15 U.S.C. § 1

1.	Do you find that plaintiffs "Russell & Diane Maxwell" have proven by a		
	preponderance of the evidence that a single, "multifaceted" conspiracy between		
	DFA, at least 5 cooperatives (including St. Albans, Land O'Lakes and Agri-Mark)		
	and at least 16 processors (including Dean, HP Hood, Farmland and Kraft) existed		
	to lower raw milk premiums below what they otherwise would have been in order		
	to eliminate competition between buyers of Grade A raw milk?		
	Yes: No:		
2.	If you answered "Yes" to Question 1, do you find that plaintiffs "Russell & Diane		
	Maxwell" have proven by a preponderance of the evidence that DFA knowingly		
	participated in the above conspiracy to lower raw milk premiums below what they		
	otherwise would have been in order to eliminate competition between buyers of		
	Grade A raw milk?		
	Yes: No:		
	[If you answered "No" to either Question 1 or 2, please proceed directly to		
	Question 9. If you answered "Yes" to both Question 1 and Question 2, you must		
	proceed to Question 3.]		
3.	Do you find that plaintiffs "Russell & Diane Maxwell" have proven by a		
	preponderance of the evidence that "Grade A non-organic raw milk" is a prope		
	relevant product market in which to assess their conspiracy claim?		
	Yes: No:		
	[If you answered "No" to this Question 3, please proceed directly to Question 9.		
	If you answered "Yes" to this Question 3, you must proceed to Question 4.]		
4.	Do you find that plaintiffs "Russell & Diane Maxwell" have proven by a		

preponderance of the evidence that the Northeastern area defined by the plants

Yes:	No:
[If you answered "N	o" to this Question 4, please proceed directly to Question
If you answered "Ye	' to this Question 4, you must proceed to Question 5.]
Do you find that 1	aintiffs "Russell & Diane Maxwell" have proven by
preponderance of th	evidence that any conspiracy between DFA and at least
cooperatives and at	least 16 processors had a substantial adverse effect
competition, in that	lowered raw milk premiums below what they would ha
been absent the cons	iracy, decreased output of raw milk, lowered the quality
raw milk, or reduce	competition among purchasers of raw milk in a releva
geographic and prod	et market between October 8, 2005 and 2017?
Yes:	No:
[If you answered "N	" to this Question 5, please proceed directly to Question
If you answered "Ye	' to Question 5, you must proceed to Question 6.]
Do you find that DF.	proved by a preponderance of the evidence that any alleg
agreement between	and the processors and cooperatives in the case benefitt
competition, in the fo	m of increasing overall milk prices for farmers, reducing t
costs to assemble, ha	l or balance raw milk, maximizing the overall amount of ra
milk produced by da	ry farmers that is placed in processing plants or minimizi
the risk that the milk	rill be "dumped" during times of oversupply, or in other wa
proven by DFA?	

	conduct were substantially outweighed by the competitive harm?
	Yes: No:
	[If you answered "No" to Question 7, please proceed directly to Question 9. If answered "Yes" to Question 7, you must proceed to Question 8.]
8.	Do you find that plaintiffs "Russell & Diane Maxwell" have proven by preponderance of the evidence that, between October 8, 2005 and 2017, they injured by a conspiracy between DFA and at least 5 cooperatives and at least processors, in that the conspiracy caused them specifically to receive lower premiums than they would have received during that time period abserconspiracy?
	Yes: No:
psoniz	zation Pursuant To 15 U.S.C. § 2
9.	Do you find that plaintiffs "Russell & Diane Maxwell" have proven
	Do you find that plaintiffs "Russell & Diane Maxwell" have proven by preponderance of the evidence that "Grade A non-organic raw milk" is a preponderance of the evidence that "Grade A non-organic raw milk" is a preponderance of the evidence that "Grade A non-organic raw milk" is a preponderance of the evidence that "Grade A non-organic raw milk" is a preponderance of the evidence that "Grade A non-organic raw milk" is a preponderance of the evidence that "Grade A non-organic raw milk" is a preponderance of the evidence that "Grade A non-organic raw milk" is a preponderance of the evidence that "Grade A non-organic raw milk" is a preponderance of the evidence that "Grade A non-organic raw milk" is a preponderance of the evidence that "Grade A non-organic raw milk" is a preponderance of the evidence that "Grade A non-organic raw milk" is a preponderance of the evidence that "Grade A non-organic raw milk" is a preponderance of the evidence that "Grade A non-organic raw milk" is a preponderance of the evidence that "Grade A non-organic raw milk" is a preponderance of the evidence of the ev
	Do you find that plaintiffs "Russell & Diane Maxwell" have proven by preponderance of the evidence that "Grade A non-organic raw milk" is a preference that product market in which to assess their monopsony claim?

sign and return the verdict form at this point. If you answered "Yes" to both

	Question 9 and Question 10, you must proceed to Question 11.]
11.	Do you find that plaintiffs "Russell & Diane Maxwell" have proven by a preponderance of the evidence that DFA has monopsony power in a relevant product and geographic market?
	Yes: No:
	[If you answered "No" to Question 11, please proceed directly to Question 14. If you answered "Yes" to Question 11, you must proceed to Question 12.]
12.	Do you find that plaintiffs "Russell & Diane Maxwell" have proven by a preponderance of the evidence that DFA willfully acquired or maintained monopsony power through predatory acts or practices, rather than through lawfully permitted conduct, that had the effect of harming competition for the purchase of raw milk in Order 1 from October 8, 2005 to 2017?
	Yes: No:
	[If you answered "No" to Question 12, please proceed directly to Question 14. If you answered "Yes" to Question 12, you must proceed to Question 13.]
13.	Do you find that plaintiffs "Russell & Diane Maxwell" have proven by a preponderance of the evidence that, between October 8, 2005 and 2017, they were injured by predatory conduct by DFA that caused them specifically to receive lower milk premiums than they would have received during that time period absent DFA's predatory conduct?
	Yes: No:

Attempted Monopsony Pursuant To 15 U.S.C. § 2

Yes:	No:	-
	No" to Question 14, please proceed directly to Quescions above. If you answered "Yes" to Question 14, you answered "Yes" to Question 14, you answered "Yes" to Question 14, you are supported to the process of the proc	
preponderance of a relevant product of acquiring the b	plaintiffs "Russell & Diane Maxwell" have prove e evidence that DFA possessed a specific intent to mono nd geographic market, such that it acted with the consci- ying power to control prices and to reduce the amoun- clude or destroy competing buyers of raw milk in Orde	psonize ous aim nt of its
Yes:	No:	
	No" to Question 15, please proceed directly to Questio" to Question 15, you must proceed to Question 16.]	n 18. If
in significant pred Maxwell" have p dangerous probab	A had the specific intent to achieve a monopsony and of tory conduct, do you also find that plaintiffs "Russell & oven by a preponderance of the evidence that there ity that DFA would succeed in achieving monopsony pand geographic market if it continued to engage in the	& Diane was a cower in
	No:	

17.	preponderance of the evide injured by predatory condu	ffs "Russell & Diane Maxwell" have proven by a ence that, between October 8, 2005 and 2017, they were ct by DFA that caused them specifically to receive lower would have received during that time period absent	
	Yes:	No:	
Conspiracy	To Monopsonize Pursuant	To 15 U.S.C. § 2	
18.	preponderance of the evid DFA, at least 5 cooperative and at least 16 processors (ffs "Russell & Diane Maxwell" have proven by a dence that a single, "multifaceted" conspiracy between es (including St. Albans, Land O'Lakes and Agri-Mark) (including Dean, HP Hood, Farmland and Kraft) existed tain monopsony power in the raw milk market in Order	
	Yes:	No:	
19.	Do you find that plaintiffs "Russell & Diane Maxwell" have proven by a preponderance of the evidence that DFA knowingly entered into and/or participated in the above conspiracy?		
	Yes:	No:	
	or 17, please proceed direc	either Question 18 or 19, but "Yes" to Question 8, 13, ctly to Question 23, subject to the instructions above. If oth Question 18 and Question 19, you must proceed to	
20.	preponderance of the evi	ffs "Russell & Diane Maxwell" have proven by a dence that DFA specifically intended to acquire or er in the proper market, such that it entered into the cious aim of using predatory conduct to acquire or	

Yes	3: <u> </u>	No:
[If y	you answered "No" t	to Question 20, please proceed directly to Question 2
·	ject to the instructions ceed to Question 21.]	s above. If you answered "Yes" to Question 20, you mu
Do	you find that plain	tiffs "Russell & Diane Maxwell" have proven by
prep	ponderance of the evid	dence that DFA committed an overt act in furtherance of
the	conspiracy between C	October 8, 2005 and 2017?
Yes	s:	No:
[If y	you answered "No" t	to Question 21, please proceed directly to Question 2.
subj	ject to the instructions	s above. If you answered "Yes" to Question 21, you mu
pro	ceed to Question 22.]	
Do	you find that plain	tiffs "Russell & Diane Maxwell" have proven by
preponderance of the evidence that, between October 8, 2005 and 2017, they we		
injured by a conspiracy to monopsonize that caused them specifically to recei-		
lower milk premiums than they would have received during that time period abse		
the	conspiracy?	
Yes	s:	No:
[If y	vou answered "Yes" to	o any of the following Questions: 8, 13, 17 or 22, you ma
pro	ceed to Question 23.	. If you have either not reached those Questions, o
G144 G	wered "No" to all of t	he following Questions: 8, 13, 17, and 22, please sign an
ans	were to the of the	

Damages

23.	If you have found that plaintiffs "Russell & Diane Maxwell" have proved their
	claim for an unlawful conspiracy, unlawful monopsony, attempted monopsony or
	conspiracy to monopsonize, do you find that they have provided a reasonable,
	reliable and non-speculative basis for determining damages sustained by these
	violations?
	Yes: No:
	[If you answered "Yes" to Question 23, you may proceed to Question 24. If you answered "No" Question 23, please sign and return the verdict form at this point.]
24.	Do you find that plaintiffs "Russell & Diane Maxwell" have carried their burden to apportion damages between lawful and unlawful causes?
	Yes: No:
	[If you answered "Yes" to Question 24, you may proceed to Question 25. If you answered "No" Question 24, please sign and return the verdict form at this point.]
25.	State the amount of damages proved by plaintiffs "Russell & Diane Maxwell":